



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,358	05/19/2004	John C. Cavin	6175	
7:	590 12/30/2005		EXAM	INER
JOHN C. CAVIN 1886 BARRINGTON CIRCLE			CAMPBELL, KELLY E	
ROCKLEDGE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/849,358	CAVIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kelly E. Campbell	3618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schofield et al (US 5,786,772).

Schofield teaches an infrared laser detector, see 2, lines 64-66, for activating an automobile driver's side rear view mirror (12), which will record, digitally, the speed of an approaching automobile from the rear and display the speed on the face of the mirror, see Figure 6;

And further, the mirror (12) will display a red light on the face of the mirror when the approaching automobile from the rear is deemed to be, by speed and number of seconds to overtake, a danger and a green light on the face of the mirror when the approaching automobile from the rear is deemed to be, by speed and number of seconds to overtake, a safe distance away, see Column 6, lines 45-55, and also, since it is well known in the art that a red light signals danger, and a green light signals favorable conditions.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Farrell et al (US 6,956,302)

Art Unit: 3618

O'Farrell teaches a rear view mirror (18) including a GPS receiver, see Column 9, lines 12-21, which will locate the automobile approaching from the rear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schofield et al (US 5,786,772).

Schofield teaches the automobile driver's side rear view mirror (12), which will record, digitally, the speed and distance of an approaching automobile from the rear. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the time in seconds for a vehicle approaching for the rear, since speed is a function of time and distance and in order to calculate speed, distance and time are known variables. Displaying either variable (time, distance or speed) would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/849,358 Page 4

Art Unit: 3618

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shisgal teaches an obstacle detection system for a vehicle. Marcus et al teaches a rearview mirror information system. Skiver et al teaches an information display system for a rearview mirror.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly E. Campbell whose telephone number is (571) 272-6693. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KEC PLANTER

Market Comments of the Comment of th

CHRISTOPHER P. ELLIS
CHREENOCH PROTEST SYSTEM